

# State of the Union

The fate of our nation hangs in the balance. Whether it survives or not depends upon the American people and their ability to understand the complex maneuvering that went on during the late 80's and early 90's that were in fact, actions leading to a coup d'etat on the U.S. government by the enemy within.

In 1990 Senator David Boren wrote an article titled, "Model Nation" that was published in the Washington Post. The purpose of the article was to argue for a 'new vision' for the United States and to change direction for U.S. policy. It was inserted into the Congressional Record by Senator Clairborne Pell.<sup>1</sup>

*"We need to realign our foreign policy to advance our national economic interests. What should we do?2  
~ Senator David Boren, May 2, 1990 ~*

On September 8, 2000, William J. Clinton signed the [Millennium Declaration](#) which was a mandate for global 'governance'. It is in the path between the 'new vision' for United States and the mandate for 'global governance' that you find the conspiracy to destroy the United States as a sovereign nation.

*Aspen Institute - "[The Fate of National Sovereignty](#)"*

*As networking technologies fuel the globalization of national economies, a renegotiation of authority is occurring between multinational corporations and nation-states. The result: the very "architecture of national sovereignty" is being remade in new and confusing ways. Nation-states are losing control of some of their traditional powers over many economic and financial matters to entirely new sorts of international bodies and new legal regimes, while retaining most of their other powers.*

***The de-nationalizing of territory.*** *This trend is exemplified by a number of "global cities" around the world, where the highly specialized functions of corporate headquarters (finance, accounting, law, strategic planning, etc.) are concentrated. Cities like New York are now "the equivalent of a free trade zone when it comes to finance," writes Sassen.*

***New intermediary legal regimes.*** *Increases in cross-border economic transactions are also creating the need for new intermediary legal regimes that can assume many traditional powers of national sovereignty. This development has been propelled by a basic tension between globally minded corporations and territorially based nation-states, writes Sassen: "Firms operating transnationally need to ensure the functions traditionally exercised by the state in the national realm of the economy, such as guaranteeing property rights and contracts.*

***The virtualization of economic activity.*** *The globalization of electronic commerce is bringing with it some very difficult challenges to national sovereignty, Sassen warns: " The growing virtualization of economic activity, particularly in the leading information industries such as finance and specialized corporate services, may be contributing to a crisis in control that transcends the capacities of both the state and the institutional apparatus of the economy.*

To Senator David Boren, George H.W. Bush and William J. Clinton - advancing our 'national economic interests' meant selling the United States out from under us through the fraud of 'free trade'. It meant transferring U.S. sovereignty to unelected, undemocratic international bodies like

the World Trade Organization, the OAS and regional tribunals through agreements cloaked in the language of 'free trade'. It meant handing over sovereignty to the communist United Nations system of "governance" by networks - non-governmental organizations, private corporations and their special interest front groups.

And if you understand that, then when you think of [FEMA and the Continuity of Government powers](#) - now in the hands of multinational corporations, then you can understand how our water resources are being stolen, our national assets leased out long term and/or sold off, unconstitutional spying on Americans via communications systems, the surveillance command and control systems that are being built and implemented.

*2. In 1995, a detailed report titled Towards A Rapid Reaction Capability for the United Nations was prepared by an International Consultative Group co-chaired by Sir Brian Urquhart of the Ford Foundation and Dr. John C. Polanyi, Nobel Laureate of the University of Toronto. This Group consisted of "experts drawn from governments, academic institutions and non-governmental organizations" and included U.S. leaders such as Dr. Jessica Mathews, Senior Fellow, Council on Foreign Relations. Published by the Canadian government, it called for UN management of satellite surveillance, information systems, databanks, and every other technological tool for managing people. It concluded that--*

*"As long sovereign states retain the right to decide on the deployment of their national units, there will never be complete assurance that a UN force can meet an urgent situation on time . . . ." 4*

*"Command, Control, Communications, Computer and Intelligence systems (C4I) would incorporate the full range of strategic and tactical communications networks, together with data processing capabilities and real-time information transfer....*

*"... A number of UN Member States are bound to be wary of systems and equipment designed for advance surveillance, intrusion detection, early warning and enhanced analytical capabilities, even if similar systems are already part of the national inventories of neighbors or adversaries. Some of these systems... might be considered too "intrusive" for use by an inter-governmental organizations. Even if these political hurdles can be overcome, acquisitions of these capabilities face enormous financial obstacles . . . .*

*"A prudent, long-term approach... would focus initially on the acquisition of advanced communication/information management systems for UN headquarters and the field. These would be "secure" systems which could readily be linked electronically to a variety of national systems provided to the UN under memoranda of understanding. The UN could then build upon this base.... " 5*

And the Oklahoma City bombing... and the 9-11 false flag 'terror' events gave de facto authority for implementation of the Continuity of Government provisions - empowering the multinational corporations to work against the interests of the United States and the American people - under the color of law.

#### *Dialectic Materialism*

*"A philosophy founded by Karl Marx... which forms the basis of Communist doctrine: it combines the materialistic idea of matter over mind with the Hegelian dialectic in which opposing forces are constantly being reunited at a higher level." -- Lexicon Webster Dictionary*

The 'free trade' agreements were not about trade. They were about creating regions with harmonized laws between foreign countries and the U.S. and a higher order legal system than the U.S. legal system - subverting the U.S. Constitution.

Regionalism is the method through which a totalitarian global 'governance' structure is being built. Specifically at the local level, regionalism is local governments splitting their sovereignty to create a regional planning body - dialectic materialism 'higher level' of unelected, appointed committees - virtually unseen 'governing' bodies). At the country level, it is splitting U.S., Mexican and Canadian sovereignty to create a regional governing body which researchers have called the North American Union (NAU) and Robert Pastor of the Council on Foreign Relations calls the 'North American Community' - a skunk by any other name still stinks. But the NAU itself is a preliminary step to full regional 'governance' under the auspices of the Organization of the American States (OAS) which will be the 'governance' body for North and South America.

*"We can hardly expect the nation-state to make itself superfluous, at least not overnight. Rather what we must aim for is really nothing more than caretakers of a bankrupt international machine which will have to be transformed slowly into a new one. The transition will not be dramatic, but a gradual one. People will still cling to national symbols."*

*-- Henry Morgenthau*

*CFR, Secretary of the Treasury under FDR*

*Source: 1945*

Fortunately for 'We The People', the traitors to the United States rushed the agenda to coincide with the new millennium - 2001 and in so doing, exposed themselves to the light of day. To see them however, one must break out of the political party paradigm because the traitors wear both hats - republican and democrat and they are pulling both levers to power. It's in their positions and actions that you see where their loyalties lie - or more accurately - disloyalties.

The above is not conspiracy theory. This is conspiracy fact. There are actual, concrete actions that were taken to dismantle the government, sell off national assets and break down national sovereignty - the identification of which reveal the strategic plan to destroy the United States. The next time you hear a government leader say, "war on terrorism" - understand that it is they who are terrified that you will find out about this treasonous plan to subvert the U.S. Constitution. And when they say the war on terror is against people "who hate our freedoms" understand that it is they who hate your freedom - and nobody else. And the way you know that is because they are subjugating the U.S. to international governing bodies that you do not elect and that you have no control over.

## **Conspiracy Facts**

### **Fast Track Trade Promotion Authority**

*1979 - Tokyo Round of GATT was pending - Congress agreed to delegate half of the requested power through a mechanism called Fast Track. Under this new delegation mechanism, the Executive Branch could negotiate terms that would require changes in domestic law. The Executive Branch would write the amendments to change U.S. law to conform to the negotiated agreement and the Congress would vote up or down with no amendments.*

The trade authority granted to the Administration by Congress was a license to sell U.S. businesses and the U.S. consumer market by proxy. The first few times that Fast Track was used, it was not abused. It wasn't until the negotiations for NAFTA, that the sell-out of our nation began. NAFTA was billed as trade agreement but in fact, it was a merger agreement that included empowering foreign multinational corporations to breakdown U.S. law (See NAFTA Chapter 11).

## **U.S. Infrastructure Up For Sale**

In 1992, then President George H.W. Bush signed Executive Order 12803 putting up U.S. national assets up for sale.

## **Erasing borders and internationalizing the highways**

The ISTEA of 1991 legislation was passed calling for an international highway to facilitate 'international trade' to be built through the United States that would be the world's first fully automated 'smart highway' with a complete system of total surveillance. This legislation also loosened the restrictions on what deals the Governors could make on toll roads - to allow them to lease U.S. highway infrastructure to private corporations. Funding for the surveillance technology was put under the heading of 'research' at universities when in fact, it was actually development money for the surveillance technology. The money that went to universities also paid for their loyalty to the agenda and their disloyalty to the United States.

Bush then sent Robert Zoellick on a global mission to sell the United States out from under us by selling the concept of 'free trade' which was the offer of the U.S. for sale - 'going out of business' sale of assets. Towards that end, Zoellick helped establish the Asian-Pacific Economic Forum - which is nothing more than a cartel of Asian countries (region) to use it's economic might to break the U.S. economy and break down legal barriers in the U.S. using investment authority provided in the 'free trade' agreements.

The negotiations on the NAFTA agreement began and were nearly completed during the Bush Administration. Clinton's contribution to this agreement was merely to sign it. NAFTA was sold as a trade agreement when in fact, the goal was hemispheric integration to create a new region - the North American Union. But Clinton made it worse by signing the Generalized Agreement on Trade in Services (GATS) which called for the 'free movement of people' - free to migrate to the U.S. as 'service providers'. In effect, this was stealing your rights to sovereign citizenship in the United States. Just like the 'free trade' agreements were signing away U.S. sovereignty, GATS gave foreign people rights to breakdown national identity and the meaning and value of citizenship. And we are being swarmed by foreigners who are taking over our country and nobody is stopping them - rather the "government" - corporations behind the façade of government are facilitating them. It is a war and occupation without bullets - mostly.

## **Most Favored Nation Status for China**

When MFN was given to China, it was an act of war on the American economy. The government of China - as a communist country - owns the businesses of China. So what MFN did was to put American businesses in direct competition with the Communist Chinese government and the billion and a half people who are slaves to the communist system. Is it any wonder that American businesses lost - and that the majority of what used to be American

companies are now Communist Chinese companies that retain the American label as a façade to hide that fact? And that they are sending us poisoned products?

### **Gutting the U.S. government through privatization of government functions**

I'm sure you've heard Al Gore say... there is no 'there' there. That's Orwellian doublespeak meaning there is nothing behind the façade. That's what the U.S. government has become under the 'reinvention' of government that occurred in the 1990's. - simply a façade. It was labeled as 'new federalism' but the truth is that it was simply the privatization of government - dissolution of the United States government.

Government functions were contracted out to private corporations which in point of fact, transferred the power of government to those corporations. With just a little bit of research, you'll find that Lockheed is basically running the government. And a little more research will reveal that Lockheed is partners with the COMMUNIST Chinese. Lockheed may even be owned by the COMMUNIST Chinese for all we know.

### **Y2k - Millennium Ticking Time bomb**

Throughout the 1990's Richard Clarke as the Czar of Y2k engaged in a 'terror, terror, terror' campaign of all the possibilities of disasters that could result from failure to correct the y2k date problem. The date problem was real - but not as extensive as it was made out to be. What the y2k terror campaign enabled was the establishment of the 'security' industry - police state. Technology to alleviate fear - their fear and the 'security' is to protect them from you. It also provided the justification to rewrite and 'fix' government systems by contractors.

The power to manage government or a large corporation for that matter - rests in the hands of the people who control the information. Bad information leads to bad decisions. The private corporations who control the government computer systems have reported a booming economy all the while, it was actually declining. They report low unemployment while in reality, there is very high unemployment among American citizens as they are replaced with foreign 'service providers'. And the supply chain management system for the military - the logical chokehold on the supply lines - how the military leadership allowed that is beyond my comprehension.

### **Preventative Defense Project**

In the 1990's the 'Preventative Defense' project was initiated - possibly by Richard Clarke or headed up by Richard Clarke. This project had two teams - one headed up William Perry at Stanford and the other headed up Ashton Carter at Kennedy School of Government at Harvard. Their mission no doubt was to anticipate the problems that might ensue in America's 'transformation' to a socialist region under the United Nations system of "governance" with the European Union taking the lead. It is not known what William Perry was doing at Stanford but

a good guess would be the redesign of America's schools to become factories for 'workers' enslaved by a national system of labor management.

(Note: With no borders and the 'free movement' of service providers called for in GATS, the only way to control the masses of 'service providers' is to control the labor market - that means jobs and employers. That's the objective of the integrated school-workforce computer system - to be able to manage the labor market.)

At the Kennedy School, Ashton Carter's team were working on a national policy to facilitate the transformation of the U.S. to a 'market-based' governance structure which is Orwellian newspeak for privatization of government and the implementation of "governance" through a fascist-communist system of networks - public-private partnerships implementing communism through special interest groups - like the Smart Growth Network. They produced a report that can be taken in either of two ways. Given the known objectives of 'market-based governance', obviously, they were planning for the event and the 'after action' opportunities for 'transformation':

**Catastrophic Terrorism: Elements of a National Policy.**  
***"Imagining the Transforming Event"***

<http://www.ksg.harvard.edu/visions/publication/terrorism.htm#About%20Visions%20of%20Governance>

Excerpt from the report:

*Readers should imagine the possibilities for themselves, because the most serious constraint on current policy is lack of imagination. An act of catastrophic terrorism that killed thousands or tens of thousands of people and/or disrupted the necessities of life for hundreds of thousands, or even millions, would be a watershed event in America's history. It could involve loss of life and property unprecedented for peacetime and undermine Americans' fundamental sense of security within their own borders in a manner akin to the 1949 Soviet atomic bomb test, or perhaps even worse. Constitutional liberties would be challenged as the United States sought to protect itself from further attacks by pressing against allowable limits in surveillance of citizens, detention of suspects, and the use of deadly force. More violence would follow, either as other terrorists seek to imitate this great "success" or as the United States strikes out at those considered responsible. Like Pearl Harbor, such an event would divide our past and future into a "before" and "after." The effort and resources we devote to averting or containing this threat now, in the "before" period, will seem woeful, even pathetic, when compared to what will happen "after." Our leaders will be judged negligent for not addressing catastrophic terrorism more urgently.*

It's not a coincidence that the conclusion of the 9-11 Commission was that 9-11 occurred because of a 'lack of imagination'. Philip Zelikow was both an author of the above report - and he was the information gatekeeper on the 9-11 project. In the context of 'transformation' to global governance which subverts the U.S. Constitution and dissolves the United States as a sovereign nation, it's not difficult to see why catastrophic terrorism would be a national policy. 'Our' government is committing national suicide - dissolving itself and turning power over to multinational corporations and communist regional "leaders". It is in the interest of those who are gaining power - and who have no fear of punishment - to use all means - including blowing up buildings to move the global agenda forward. That means the multinational corporations who benefitted and you don't need to be Sherlock Holmes to figure that out.

Notice that the two acts of "terrorism" in the United States both involved government buildings - The Murrah Building in Oklahoma City (Senator David Boren's home state) and World Trade Center Building 7.

*"Terrorism is the best political weapon, for nothing drives people harder than a fear of sudden death." -  
Adolf Hitler*

*"If Tyranny and Oppression come to this land,  
it will be in the guise of fighting a foreign enemy."  
James Madison, while a United States Congressman*

So when you see a catastrophe - like the [I-35 bridge "collapse" in Minnesota](#), look at it from the point of view of an agenda served by it and you'll see the 'terrorists' for who they really are.

### **Stock Market Manipulation**

Early in the 1990's IBM's stock was arbitrated dropping the value to about 1/3 - \$43.00 per share (as I recall). The propaganda message was that big iron was finished and would be replaced by PC's and networks. This was followed by the dot.com stock fraud that was a technology corporation funded and IPO led boom that was purely illusory. CNBC hawked the technology stocks on TV generating a carnival atmosphere and gold fever. Technology stock analyst Frank Quattrone was - to my knowledge the only Wall Street insider to go to jail for it even though billions of dollars were stolen from the American people

Behind the scenes, the technology lobbyists were giving stock options and stock tips to the members of Congress and their re-election campaigns - buying votes for corporate initiatives on technology issues. One of the initiatives was deregulation of the telecommunications industry that led to the building of the global network and the consolidation of the media. The consolidation of the media facilitated the transformation of media as an entertainment, news and information medium to a propaganda tool for perception manipulation and 'knowledge management'. No doubt, the deregulation was sold on the basis of the Internet providing the diversity of ownership and the lowered bar of market entry. But the next step is in progress and that is to control the Internet - to corral people into 'walled gardens of content' that the monopoly providers create. (See net neutrality issue).

At the same time this was all occurring, technology industry lobbyists - Harris Miller to name one - was working with Dewang Mehta to establish the IT industry in India. This was a strategic move to crush America's economy because roughly 65% of the economy was in knowledge jobs most of which were easily outsourcable to India - for cheap labor.

*"Addressing management students here, Mr Premji explained that when software services were being outsourced, it was done surreptitiously. This was done because it was the requirement of that time. The industry then stood witness to a vast number of layoffs." ~ WIPRO Chairman Azim Premji while "speaking at a seminar organised by the All India Management Association (AIMA) and Bombay Management Association (BMA)".*

Frank G. Wisner Jr. was the Ambassador of India during the mid-90's no doubt working with Harris Miller on the sell-out of America. In 2000 as Vice-Chairman of AIG, he lobbied for the

'Knowledge Trade Initiative' to formalize the transfer of these segments of the U.S. economy to India: [software development, Internet services, basic research, R&D, space science, film, music, video animation, and other forms of entertainment, biotechnology, health related services and a host of emerging information-based industries.](#) (Note: On November 9, 2001 11-9 George Bush and Vajpayee of India signed the Knowledge Trade Agreement which was the effective knife in the jugular of the U.S. economy).

While Harris Miller was working with India to sell out America by establishing the Indian IT infrasture, he was lobbying Congress for more H-1B visas for Indians to fill the 'shortage' of IT workers using the fraudulent dot.con boom as proof of "shortages" for the 'New Economy". As soon as the American Competitiveness Act of 2000 was passed - - greatly increasing the number of visas for imported technology workers, the fraudulent dot.con boom ended - just as suddenly as it started.

## **Wage and Price Controls**

At the macro level, MFN for China and massive imports of cheap labor were effectively wage and price controls by other means for the Boren-Clinton-Gore-Bush 'new vision' of America as a socialist nation. Flooding the country with cheap products from China was effective price control. Flooding the country with cheap imported labor was effective wage control - and Alan Greenspan said as much many times throughout the 90's - "more workers were needed to control inflation".

In hindsight, the 'creative destruction' of the 1980's and 1990's was dialectic materialism. It was financial manipulation - not to respond to the market - but to destroy the market and with it, U.S. economy and ultimately, the U.S. as a sovereign nation.

## **New Economy - New Education System Fraud**

In 1991, President George Bush established the 'New American Schools Development Corporation' (NASDC) to redesign American schools using corporate funding and corporate philosophy of what education is important to them. Not surprisingly - given the agenda, the mission of the schools has shifted to the production of global citizens with socialist philosophy of community over the individual and the 'worker' (slave) conditioning integrated into the curriculum.

The propaganda message during this era was of the 'New Economy' for the New Millenium with technology leading the way. The fraud of the 'New Economy' is revealed most clearly in the planning for the U.S. education system coupled with the socialist system of national management of the labor force by the direct linkage of education and workforce development for a planned economy.

*"In his 1983 study, [The Coming Revolution in Education](#), Dr. Eugene Maxwell Boyce of the University of Georgia wrote: "In the Communist ideology the function of universal education is clear, and easily understood. Universal education fits neatly into the authoritarian state. Education is tied directly to jobs - control of the job being the critical control point in an authoritarian state. The level of education, and consequently the level of employment, is determined first (by schools) ... They do not educate people for jobs that do not exist. No such direct, controlled relationship between education and jobs exists in democratic countries. Quote found in article by Dave Mundy, "[Hillary Clinton Education Reform](#)"*

A 1990 report by the Skills Commission - a committee (soviet) titled 'America's Choice: High Skills or Low Wages' reported that. This was a statement of the true education requirements for the 'New Economy' in the plan for redesign of America's schools. The public message was 'reform for knowledge jobs'. The reality revealed by the statement below - and the actions since that time, are that the reforms were for vocational education because the technology industry and knowledge jobs were going to be exported to low wage countries under the WTO and 'free trade' agreements:

*"More than 70 percent of the jobs in America will not require a college education by the year 2000. These jobs are the backbone of our economy, and the productivity of workers in these jobs will make or break our economic future".*

A slide presentation posted at the Progressive Policy Institute - the think tank of the Democratic Leadership Council - which is the political arm of the technology industry - graphically shows the concept of the 'New, Knowledge-Based Economy' that was sold to Congress while at the same time the technology industry was selling us out to the low wage countries of India and China:

Education, Skills and the New Economy

[http://www.ppionline.org/documents/Atkinson\\_031604.pdf](http://www.ppionline.org/documents/Atkinson_031604.pdf)

The actual 'reforms' of education were to shift to the German model of vocational training beginning in junior high school - with 'internships' giving free labor to local businesses under the heading of 'training'.

The Role of Career and Technical Education in High School

<http://ed.gov/about/offices/list/ovae/pi/hs/miller.doc>

In 2004, Catherine Austin-Fitts who was a HUD department official in the 1990's said the following in a radio interview. She reveals the deception between the public policies and the secret agenda behind them:

*In the mid-90's Jim, we knew that a huge amount of jobs and income-generating activities in America were going to get outsourced to Asia. I mean, those decisions were made in the early 90's, and we knew that was going to happen.*

*I mean, we knew the workforce was going to have to reengineer itself, and our pension funds and retirement arrangements were not going to be financially credible unless the workforce reinvented itself, and paid down its debt, you know, then.*

*Now, when you move all your income abroad, and you leave your growing debt at home, it doesn't take long to understand what's going to happen to a Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac or a Ginnie Mae. At some point the growing debt has got to get serviced, and the question is how?*

***You can flood the country with immigrants who can buy up real estate that you finance at the bottom, but at some point something's got to give in the middle. I mean, if you shrink and collapse the middle class, they're going to default on their mortgages.***

People don't 'reengineer' themselves like that. The policy to export jobs to Asia - to built up the cartel countries so that they could destroy our country - destroyed the lives of millions of American citizens. The sudden loss of a job - and the inability to get another one is in effect, the theft of a person's life's. It destroys them both economically and emotionally.

## Global Climate Change

Global climate change propaganda is not about the weather. It's a metaphor for a transformation to a global "governance" system under the totalitarian socialist United Nations. The threats of 'Global Warming' are threats of terrorism - "transform or else". And 'Peak Oil' is logical peak oil. Their goal is to choke off the supply of oil to our economy. Without energy, the economy will collapse - and is collapsing as you can easily see.

Al Gore's partners in the global warming scam are Maurice Strong and Mikhail Gorbachev. Strong and Gorbachev are communists. And so is Al Gore.

*"It is clear that current lifestyles and consumption patterns the affluent middle-class..involving high meat intake, consumption of large amounts of frozen and convenience foods, ownership of motor vehicles, small electric appliances, home and work place air-conditioning, and suburban housing are not sustainable...A shift if necessary toward life-styles less geared to environmental damaging consumption patterns."*  
Maurice Strong, 1991 UNCED Report

*Isn't the only hope for the planet that the industrialized civilization collapse? Isn't it our responsibility to bring that about?"* West Magazine Interview with Maurice Strong, 1990

Al Gore is the person who was in charge of "reinvention of government" which was the dismantling of the U.S. government - contracting out government information systems to corporations which effectively transferred the power of government to those corporations. Recall the scandals involving Clinton and Gore with the COMMUNIST Chinese? They were conspiring with COMMUNIST Chinese in the take over - sub rosa - of the U.S. government using a multinational corporate 'front'.

## Sustainable Development

The term 'sustainable development' is codespeak for implementing the communist policies to enable 'global governance'. Here again, it is not about the environment. It is about managing your descent into the global slave system of poverty and deprivation using environmental protection as the reason.

Under the guise of 'saving the environment', private property is being eliminated and a host of environmental initiatives are being implemented which are designed to cost more and devolve your lifestyle. Each one is a management issue for your life. You have less control over your life with each one implemented. They are not to save the environment. These regulations are to change your lifestyle and to condition you for management of your personal life.

*Environment is defined comprehensively to include all relationships between humans and all that impact upon them, and all that they impact" ~ Mohua Guha and Aparajita Chattopadhyay, "Environmental education: A pathway for sustainable development", (Caldwell, L K 1993)*

In 1993, Bill Clinton established an appointed committee to implement the United Nations **COMMUNIST Agenda 21**. Notice that economic goals are specified first and it includes "equity goals":

*The President's Council on Sustainable Development (PCSD) was established by President Clinton in June 1993 to advise him on sustainable development and develop "bold, new approaches to achieve our*

*economic, environmental, and equity goals." Formally established by Executive Order 12852, the PCSD was administered as a federal advisory committee under the Federal Advisory Committee Act.*

One of the recommendations of the committee (there were many) was to get the city mayors involved in 'sustainable development' globally. Toward that end, Felix Rohatyn (Austrian born - Seig Heil! Naturalized citizen arranged a conference for mayors in France to layout the agenda of the demise of nation-states and propagandize them into believing that their positions in the globalized world would be elevated and would include foreign policy in their new position as [internationalized city-states](#). This conference was subversive to U.S. national interests and all participants should have been charged with the [Logan Act](#) - up to and including William J. Clinton.

### **Boogie Man Setup - the foreign phantom enemy**

From external appearances, it seems that George Tenet, Director of the CIA was not qualified for the position on any level. Tenet was a lobbyist for the solar power industry before being hired first by John Heinz (R-PA) to work on energy issues. Senator David Boren (D-OK) made him a Chief Staffer at the time when Boren was the Chairman of the Intelligence Committee. Senator Boren arranged for Tenet to work in the National Security Council during the timeframe when Anthony Lake was working with Al Gore - outside the normal channels of government to set up the Summits of the Americas - which as stated above - were for the purpose of negotiating the dissolution of U.S. sovereignty and establishing the regional "governance" of the North American Union.

It was during this timeframe when John Deutch CIA stole files from the CIA. George Tenet [aided and abetted the criminal action of Deutch](#) by botching the investigation. John Deutch was also a co-author of the Catastrophic Terrorism report mentioned above.

Anthony Lake was the person Clinton wanted to to replace Deutch... but he couldn't be confirmed by the Senate for reasons of his past history. Anthony Lake was working with Al Gore - outside normal channels of government to set up the Summits of the Americas which as stated above - were for the purpose of negotiating the dissolution of U.S. sovereignty and establishing the regional governance of the North American Union.

While working for the National Security Council - under the direction of Anthony Lake, Tenet wrote the [PDD-35 directives](#) setting priorities for the CIA:

*"At the White House, Tenet was a principal author of PDD-35, a classified presidential directive that set out new priorities for the intelligence community, including providing support to military operations; stopping counterterrorism, counternarcotics and organized crime; and penetrating radical countries in the Middle East and elsewhere.*

*"He wrote the intelligence priorities and then went to the agency to see that they were implemented," a White House official said."*

It's on the record that George Tenet "re-engineered" the CIA according to TQM which means that he destroyed the management structure and got rid of most experienced CIA personnel through early retirement packages leaving only junior level people who could be easily led down the primrose path. He also established the Bin Laden desk to create the illusion of a phantom

foreign enemy. So on the morning of 9-11 when the shells were pointing fingers at Osama Bin Laden, it's a logical assumption that George Tenet - and John Deutch using the stolen CIA files were involved in the advance preparation of the official storyline.

## **Conclusion**

During the decade before September 11, 2001, everything that was done was preparation for the greatest stage play in the history of the world. The plot line is that the leaders of government were actually dismantling the government and handing off power to multinational corporations and an unelected, appointed committee of foreigners who will govern behind a façade of the Internet and the Congressional circus - at least for about 50 years or so until knowledge of what America used to be is gone.

The acts of terrorism will be performed by hired mercenaries not exclusively from the Middle East. They can be from anywhere but the goal will always be to further the agenda of the transition of power from legitimate government to the multinational corporations behind the façade. The terrorism we've already seen besides 9-11 includes forest fires, collapsing interstate bridges, buses driving off a freeway ramp, children and young people being kidnapped, shootings by Manchurian candidates, etc. You'll be able to tell by the depth and duration of the mass media coverage and the focus of the coverage.

The funding for it is being provided by psychotic billionaires like Maurice Strong, David Rockefeller, Bill Gates, Richard Mellon-Scaife, Warren Buffet, etc. What they have to gain is control of everything... a total return to feudalism that will never end because technology for the electronic prison will prevent their overthrow.

Anybody who goes along with them thinking that they will escape the fate that awaits others is deluding themselves. As Maurice Strong put it, he wants Earth, Inc. A cost benefit analysis will be done on you and your family. According to Joan Veon, the calculation is called your 'Family Dependency Ratio'. In simple terms, it means that your use of the earth's resources will be compared to your productivity and if there is a negative result, you will be slated for the proverbial gas chamber ala Hitler - except that they are a little more sophisticated nowadays so as not to disturb the rest of the herd.

And that's the rest of the story.

*"It is better to die on your feet, than to live on your knees." -  
Emiliano Zapata*

Vicky Davis  
12/12/2007