

The Trinity: Philosophy, Policy and Mechanism

A few days ago, a friend sent a link to an excerpted paper by written by C. H. Douglas in 1941 titled *The Big Idea*¹. Douglas is considered to be the father of the Social Credit Movement. I'm not a fan of the social credit idea, but reading the extract was exhilarating because it was like encountering a brother from another mother. It did not go into the social credit concept, rather, it was about the social, political and economic conditions that he observed that started him on the path to conceive the social credit system. In other words, it was his background thinking – definition of the problem. The following are a few of the most significant things he said from my point of view:

There is perhaps no more convincing single piece of evidence in regard to the existence of conscious, evil, forces energising a continuous policy, than the strenuous and skilful endeavour to present a picture of events and of history, as purely episodic. **History is crystallised *Politics*, not disconnected episodes. Where it is possible to identify a continuous organisation, it is safe to postulate a continuous policy, and as every policy besides having a philosophy, has an appropriate mechanism, or form of organisation,** it is also safe to conclude that similar mechanisms have similar policies and philosophies, even if one calls itself National Socialism, a second, the Dictatorship of the Proletariat, and a third, the New Deal.

“Men make no mistake when they say that this is an ideological war. It is the war of the Old Testament against the New Testament, of Anti-Christ against Christ. This is not apocalyptic raving – it is not even, in the ordinary sense, religion. It will not be featured prominently in the calls to prayer of the "B".B.C. It is merely a statement of **the trinity of philosophy, policy, and mechanism**, brought into the present tense – that two philosophies and two policies, those of world dominion and the materialistic Messiah, on the one hand, and individual freedom on the other, are now at death grips.”

That our present plight is due to organisation *per se*, is not, I think, open to discussion...

Organisation is, in fact, magic. It is the evocation of an elemental force, and it is much easier to evoke elemental forces than it is to control them or lay them. I have very little doubt that the necessity of a "circle" for the production of spiritistic phenomena is simply an example.

Organization is built when there is a mission and the mission is the result of a policy and the policy is based on a philosophy (which may be masked by a pseudo-philosophy that accomplishes the same purpose when the real philosophy would be repugnant if it were openly expressed.)

The people within an organization are locked into position by the systems – processes and procedures - that have been defined for them. They have no choice other than to follow the edicts of the systems or leave the organization. That is the power of organization - a choice between one's self-interest and the demands of the organizational systems over which one has no control. The lack of control provides the shield from conscience for the actions. The result is that the organization as a whole becomes in effect, a mindless machine performing the tasks required of them by the systems. When the organization is taken in a wrong direction, it becomes a danger to all and that is the situation in which we find ourselves.

The first government system I researched was the education system. I did that because the philosophy of the system – documented in the Congressional Record by a Congressman was not grounded in American principles. The first step I took in that research was to go back in history to see if I could find a “continuous policy” that would have led to the design of a system that was, frankly speaking,

unAmerican. And I did in fact, find the continuous policy so I knew the system documented in the Congressional Record was real and not just a flight of fancy by a socialist central planner.

The next major system that I researched was the redesign of the health care system. I listened to a presentation given by a doctor from Mayo Clinic and a marketing representative from IBM at the National Governor's Association meeting in 2007. They were selling the governors on ideas of policy and facility that would be enabled by having electronic medical records – collectivized nationally. From the ideas they were expressing, it was not difficult to discern the philosophy behind the marketing. There was no benefit to the system commensurate with the cost and there was no benefit to patients whose medical privacy would be violated by the collectivization and whose lives would be at risk because of the capabilities enabled by the system. From that logical disconnect between what was being said and the real world considerations for systems, the conclusion was that the real philosophy behind the design was repugnant and so I wrote a piece called *IBM and Dr. Mengele – Together Again*. The health care system was designed for mass scale medical research and specifically on the human genome euphemistically described as 'personalized medicine'.

The next major system I researched was the CANAMEX highway system. The only thing I knew when I began was that it would be an international highway using an interstate route to connect Canada, Mexico and the U.S. and that the highway was being connected due to the NAFTA agreement to economically integrate the three countries. The point being that my starting place was not a technological analysis, rather, it was a political point of view of sovereignty of our country. This research began looking for the beginning point of a continuous policy – which for the purposes of looking at the highway began with the *Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991*. I was not very deep into the research when the technology aspect – the mechanism – in this case, the physical implementation of the integration policy revealed itself. So the analysis included the reconstruction of the logical attributes of the highway system – intermodalism, intermodal commerce zone, freight tracking system, computerized systems in vehicles for sending and receiving data, etc.

The point is that when you are dealing with strategic planners, you are dealing with logic and logic leaves it's own trail. You can begin researching based on any element of the trinity: philosophy, policy or mechanism and you can traverse the trail and when the trail is incomplete, you can extrapolate the next steps based on the logic to that point. This does not mean of course, that the logic is always correct and that wrong paths aren't taken, but wrong paths are easily identified at decision points after examination of the thinking that went into the decision.

The public-private partnerships between government and technology corporations to implement technocratic systems of control for all of our major infrastructure and as the control mechanisms for all of our major institutions represent the most significant threat to this country that we've ever had because the systems turn people into mindless robots who carry out their standardized mission without thought or conscience. They are trapped by the systems as much as we are being trapped by the systems.

To free ourselves from these shackles, we must set the bureaucrats free by focusing on the elimination of the centralized systems of control and not on the bureaucrats themselves. Our state legislators must understand the nature of the problem so that they can begin taking steps to cut the gordian knots of control. The best place to start is with the schools. We need to "throw the bums out" with the bums being Washington DC. Repeal the interstate compacts pertaining to the schools and declare state sovereignty over the schools in Idaho. Most importantly, we must stop taking federal money.

Federal grant money to build systems of our own enslavement is stupid and it must stop. There is nothing that we need so much that it's worth trading our freedom for it.

Vicky Davis

August 27, 2014

¹ L.D. Bryne, OBE, Canada, 1982, Extracted brief of *The Big Idea* by C.H. Douglas, 1941, posted on The Australian League of Rights website, <http://www.alor.org/Library/The%20Big%20Idea.htm>